

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

FREDDY JUAREZ,

Defendant and Appellant.

B260395

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. BA379767)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Carol H. Rehm, Judge. Affirmed.

Sharon M. Jones, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

A jury convicted defendant Freddy Juarez of second degree murder and attempted murder, with gang and firearm-discharge findings, for a gang-related shooting in East Los Angeles in 2009. The trial court sentenced him to an aggregate term of 65 years to life in prison. We affirmed defendant's conviction upon his original appeal, but vacated his sentence and remanded for resentencing in light of *People v. Caballero* (2012) 55 Cal.4th 262 and *Miller v. Alabama* (2012) __U.S. __ [132 S.Ct. 2455]. (*People v. Rosas and Juarez* (Oct. 28, 2013, B241364 [nonpub. opn.].) Upon remand, the trial court imposed the same terms for each count, but made the term for the attempted murder conviction concurrent, instead of consecutive, for an aggregate term of 40 years to life.

Defendant filed a timely appeal. We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to independently review the record. On October 23, 2015, we advised defendant he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. To date, we have received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that defendant's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 109–110; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

LUI, J.

We concur:

ROTHSCHILD, P. J.

JOHNSON, J.